arXiv:1510.08115v1 [math.AP] 27 Oct 2015

Remarks on 1-D Euler Equations with Time-Decayed Damping

Xinghong Pan *

(Department of Mathematics and IMS, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.)

Abstract

We study the 1-d isentropic Euler equations with time-decayed damping

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) + \partial_x p(\rho) = -\dfrac{\mu}{1+t}\rho u, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = 1 + \varepsilon\rho_0(x), u|_{t=0} = \varepsilon u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

This work is inspired by a recent work of F. Hou, I. Witt and H.C. Yin [3]. In [3], they proved a global existence and blow-up result of 3-d irrotational Euler flow with time-dependent damping. In the 1-d case, we will prove a different result when the damping decays of order -1 with respect to the time t. More precisely, when $\mu > 2$, we prove the global existence of the 1-d Euler system. While when $0 \leq \mu \leq 2$, we will prove the blow up of C^1 solutions.

Keywords: Euler equations, global existence, blow up, time-decayed damping.

Mathematical Subject Classification 2010: 35L70, 35L65, 76N15.

1 Introduction

This paper deals with the isentropic Euler equations with time-decayed damping in 1 dimension:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) + \partial_x p(\rho) = -\dfrac{\mu}{1+t}\rho u, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = 1 + \varepsilon\rho_0(x), u|_{t=0} = \varepsilon u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

*E-mail:math.scrat@gmail.com.

where $\rho_0(x), u_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, supported in $|x| \leq R$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficient small. Here $\rho(x), u(x)$ and $p(x)$ represent the density, fluid velocity and pressure respectively and μ is a positive number to describe the scale of the damping. We assume the fluid is a polytropic gas which means we assume $p(\rho) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1$. We denote $c^2 = p'(\rho)$.

As is well known, when the damping is vanishing, the smooth solution of compressible Euler flow will blow up in finite time. For the extensive literature on the blow-up results and the blow-up mechanism, Readers can see [1], [2], [14, 15], and [21, 22] and references therein for more details.

While 1-d Euler equations with linear damping read as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) + \partial_x P(\rho) = -\kappa \rho u. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Here κ is a constant. There are many results to prove the global existence and convergence rates of solutions to system (1.2) with small data. Readers can turn to[4], [11] and [12] for more information.

In multi space dimensions, there are also some results to Euler equations with linear damping. Wang and Yang proved the stability of the planar diffusion wave for the 2D Euler system with linear damping in [19] and studied the pointwise estimates of solutions for the 3-dimension Euler equations in [20]. Similar convergence rates in 3 dimensions are obtained by Tan and Wang by using a different method in [17]. Also in [6], Jiu and Zheng proved the global existence of the 3D Euler systems with linear damping in Besov spaces. While Sideris, Thomases and Wang in [13] showed that the smooth solutions of the linear-damped Euler equations do not decay in exponentially in time and may blow up if the initial data is sufficient large.

It is nature to ask whether the global solution exists when the damping is decayed and what is the critical decayed rate to separate the global existence and the finite-time blow up of solutions with small data. Recently F. Hou, I. Witt and H.C. Yin in [3] proved a global existence(the damping is time-decayed smaller than or equal to order -1) and blow-up(the damping is time-decayed larger than order -1) result for the 3d irrotational Euler flow. Our article discusses the 1-dimension Euler equations with damping of time-decayed order -1. Compared with their work, we will get a different result in 1-dimensional case. The global existence and blow up depend on the scale of μ and $\mu = 2$ is the critical value.

Throughout this paper we denote a generic constant by C. It may be different line by line. $H^m(R)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space with its norm

$$\|f\|_{H^m} \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \|\partial_x^k f\|_{L_p}.$$

For convenience, we use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote $\|\cdot\|_{L_2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_m$ for $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}$.

We state our main results as following.

Theorem 1.1 *(Global existence for $\mu > 2$) Suppose $(\rho_0, u_0) \in H^m(\mathbb{R}), m \geq 3$ and $\mu > 2$. Then there exists a unique global classical solution $(\rho(x,t), u(x,t))$ of* (1.1) *satisfies*

$$\|(1+t)\partial_t \rho(t)\|_{m-1}^2 + \|(1+t)\partial_x \rho(t)\|_{m-1}^2 + \|(1+t)\partial_x u(t)\|_{m-1}^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\|(\rho(t)-1)\|^2+\|u(t)\|^2\\
&+\int_0^t(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau\rho(\tau)\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_x\rho(\tau)\|_{m-1}+\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{m-1})d\tau\\
\leq\ & C\varepsilon^2(\|\rho_0\|_m^2+\|u_0\|_m^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.1 *By Sobolev inequality, we have the pointwise estimates*

$$\sum_{\alpha\leq m-1}\sup\left\{(1+t)^{\frac{1+\min\{\alpha,1\}}{2}}(|\partial_x^\alpha v|+|\partial_x^\alpha u|)\right\}\leq C\varepsilon.$$

Remark 1.2 *Inspired by the work of F. Hou, I. Witt and H.C. Yin, we believe that by introducing a "potential function" $\phi(x,t)=\int_\infty^x u(y,t)dy$, we can prove the global existence when the damping decays like $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}$ $(0<\lambda<1,\mu>0)$. We will present it in our later work.*

The idea of proving Theorem1.1 comes from [20]. In the first part of their paper, they proved the global existence of multi-dimensional Euler equations with constant linear damping by a energy estimate method. We revise their method with a time-weighted energy estimate to make it suitable for the proving of Theorem1.1.

Next we discuss the blow up of system (1.1) when $0\leq\mu\leq 2$. Define T_ε be the lifespan, the largest existing time, of C^1 solutions to system (1.1). Define two functions

$$q^0(r)=\int_r^\infty(x-r)^2\rho_0(x)dx,$$

$$q^1(r)=2\int_r^\infty(x-r)(\rho_0u_0)(x)dx.$$

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose for some $0<R_0<R$,*

$$q^0(r)>0,\qquad q^1(r)\geq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

for $R_0<r<R$. Then the lifespan T_ε of C^1 solutions of (1.1) *is finite.*

Remark 1.3 *the proof of Theorem1.2 can find its original version in T. Sideris [15] for 3-d compressible Euler equations. It can not be used directly for the 1-d damped Euler equations, but we can revise the test function there to similarly prove the finite-time blow up.*

Remark 1.4 *Actually our method can deal with the case when the damping decays like $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}$ when $\lambda>1,\mu\geq 0$.*

We arrange our paper as following. In Section 2, we prove Theorem1.1 for the global existence with relatively "large" damping. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3 for the blow up of solutions with relatively "small" damping.

2 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by a time-weighted energy method. Remember $c = \sqrt{P'(\rho)} = \rho^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$. First we change (1.1) into

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}\partial_t c + c\partial_x u + \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}u\partial_x c = 0, \\ \partial_t u + u\partial_x u + \dfrac{2}{\gamma-1}c\partial_x c + \dfrac{\mu}{1+t}u = 0, \\ c|_{t=0} = 1 + \varepsilon c_0(x), u|_{t=0} = \varepsilon u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(R)$, supported in $|x| \le R$.

Let $v = \frac{2}{\gamma-1}(c-1)$, then v, u satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x u = -u\partial_x v - \dfrac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u, \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x v + \dfrac{\mu}{1+t}u = -u\partial_x u - \dfrac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v, \\ v|_{t=0} = \varepsilon v_0(x), u|_{t=0} = \varepsilon u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $v_0(x) = \frac{2}{\gamma-1}c_0(x)$.

From (2.2), we have

$$\partial_{tt} v - \partial_{xx} v + \frac{\mu}{1+t}\partial_t v = Q(v,u), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(v,u) &= \frac{\mu}{1+t}(-u\partial_x v - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u) \\ &- \partial_t(u\partial_x v) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_t(v\partial_x u) + \partial_x(u\partial_x u) + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_x(v\partial_x v). \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will estimate (v,u) under the a priori assumption

$$\begin{aligned} E_m(T) &= : \sup_{0<t<T}\left\{\|(1+t)\partial_x v\|_{m-1}^2 + \|(1+t)\partial_x u\|_{m-1}^2 + \|v\|^2 + \|u\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le M\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Where M, independent of ε, will be determined later. By choosing M suitably, we will prove

$$E_m(T) \le \frac{1}{2}M\varepsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

By Sobolev inequality, we know that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \quad \sup\left\{(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(|\partial_x^\alpha v| + |\partial_x^\alpha u|)\right\}$$

$$\leq CE_2(t) \leq CM\varepsilon.$$

Since (2.2) implies

$$|(1+t)\partial_t v| + |(1+t)\partial_t u| \leq C\left\{|u| + (1+t)|\partial_x v| + (1+t)|\partial_x u|\right\},$$

we also have

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta\leq 1} \sup\left\{(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}}(|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^\beta v| + |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^\beta u|)\right\} \leq CM\varepsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

In the following, we will first obtain some elementary estimates for the 1-order derivatives of the solution. Then the higher derivatives will be handled in the similar way.

2.1 Estimate 1

For some constant η, to be determined later, multiplying (2.3) by $\eta(1+t)^2\partial_t v$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\eta}{2}\partial_t[(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2] + \eta(\mu-1)(1+t)(\partial_t v)^2 - \eta(1+t)^2\partial_t v\partial_{xx} v \\ = \;& \eta(1+t)^2\partial_t v Q(v,u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Integrating it over $R\times[0,t]$ and using integration by parts give

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\eta}{2}\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2 dx + \eta(\mu-1)\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2 dxd\tau \\ & + \frac{\eta}{2}\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2 dx - \eta\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2 dxd\tau \\ = \;& \frac{\eta}{2}\int_R (\partial_t v)^2|_{t=0} dx + \frac{\eta}{2}\int_R (\partial_x v)^2|_{t=0} dx + \int_0^t\int_R \eta(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v Q(v,u) dxd\tau \\ \leq \;& C\varepsilon^2(\|v_0\|_1^2 + \|u_0\|_1^2) + \int_0^t\int_R \eta(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v Q(v,u) dxd\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Also multiplying (2.3) by $(1+t)v$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t[(1+t)v\partial_t v] + \frac{\mu-1}{2}\partial_t v^2 - (1+t)v\partial_{xx} v - (1+t)(\partial_t v)^2 \\ = \;& (1+t)vQ(v,u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Then integrating (2.9) over $R\times[0,t]$ and using integration by parts give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R (1+t)v\partial_t v dx + \frac{\mu-1}{2}\int_R v^2 dx \\ & + \int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2 dxd\tau - \int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2 dxd\tau \\ = \;& \int_R (v\partial_t v)|_{t=0} dx + \frac{\mu-1}{2}\int_R v^2|_{t=0} dx + \int_0^t\int_R (1+t)vQ(v,u) dxd\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad C\varepsilon^2(\|v_0\|_1^2+\|u_0\|_1^2)+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)vQ(v,u)dxd\tau. \tag{2.10}$$

Adding (2.8) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\eta}{2}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx+\frac{\eta}{2}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2dx+\frac{\mu-1}{2}\int_R v^2dx\\
&+[\eta(\mu-1)-1]\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau+(1-\eta)\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2dxd\tau\\
&+\int_R(1+t)v\partial_t vdx\\
\leq\ & C\varepsilon^2(\|v_0\|_1^2+\|u_0\|_1^2)+\int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v]Q(v,u)dxd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

If $\mu=2+4\delta$ for some $\delta>0$, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\int_R(1+t)v\partial_t vdx\geq-\frac{1+4\delta}{2(1+\delta)}\int_R v^2dx-\frac{1+\delta}{2(1+4\delta)}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12) by choosing $\eta=\frac{1+2\delta}{1+4\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta}{2(1+4\delta)}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx+\frac{1+2\delta}{2(1+4\delta)}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2dx+\frac{\delta(1+4\delta)}{2(1+\delta)}\int_R v^2dx\\
&+2\delta\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau+\frac{2\delta}{1+4\delta}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2dxd\tau\\
\leq\ & CE_1^2(0)+\int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v]Q(v,u)dxd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx+\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2dx+\int_R v^2dx\\
&+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_t v)^2dxd\tau+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2dxd\tau\\
\leq\ & CE_1^2(0)+CI.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where C depends on μ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I \ &= \ \int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v]Q(v,u)dxd\tau\\
&= \ \int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v]\frac{\mu}{1+\tau}(-u\partial_x v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)dxd\tau\\
&\quad -\int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v][\partial_\tau(u\partial_x v)+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_\tau(v\partial_x u)]dxd\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^t\int_R[(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v][\partial_x(u\partial_x u)+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_x(v\partial_x v)]dxd\tau\\
=\;& I_1+I_2+I_3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we estimate I_1, I_2 and I_3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \mu\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)\partial_\tau v(-u\partial_x v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)dxd\tau\\
&\quad+\mu\int_0^t\int_R v(-u\partial_x v-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.6), using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_R\left[(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_x u|^2)+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right]dxd\tau\\
&\quad+C\int_0^t\int_R uv\partial_x v dxd\tau\\
&\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_R\left[(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_x u|^2)+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right]dxd\tau. \qquad (2.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we focus on the estimate of I_2, then I_3 will be essentially the same with I_2. Dealing I_2 the same with I_1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau u|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_\tau v|^2)dxd\tau\\
&\quad-\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2u\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau}vdxd\tau-\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)vu\partial^2_{x\tau}vdxd\tau\\
&\quad-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2v\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau}udxd\tau-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)v^2\partial^2_{x\tau}udxd\tau\\
&= CM\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau u|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_\tau v|^2)dxd\tau\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2\partial_x u(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)\partial_x(vu)\partial_\tau vdxd\tau\\
&\quad-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2v\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau}udxd\tau+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)\partial_x v^2\partial_\tau udxd\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.6) again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le CM\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau u|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_\tau v|^2)dxd\tau\\
&\quad-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2v\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau}udxd\tau. \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.2)

$$\partial_x u = \frac{-\partial_t v - u\partial_x v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}.$$

Then

$$\partial^2_{xt} u = -\frac{\partial^2_t v + \partial_t u\partial_x v + u\partial^2_{xt} v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v} + \frac{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_t v(\partial_t v + u\partial_x v)}{(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v)^2}. \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v} \le \frac{1}{1-CM\varepsilon}. \tag{2.18}$$

Inserting (2.17) and (2.18) into (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2 v\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau} u dx d\tau \\
=\ & \int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2 v\partial_\tau v\left[-\frac{\partial^2_\tau v + \partial_\tau u\partial_x v + u\partial^2_{x\tau} v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v} + \frac{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\partial_\tau v(\partial_\tau v + u\partial_x v)}{(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v)^2}\right] dx d\tau \\
\le\ & -\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2 \frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\partial_\tau v(\partial^2_\tau v + u\partial^2_{x\tau} v) dx d\tau \\
&+\frac{CM^2\varepsilon^2}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2 + |\partial_x v|^2) dx d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 \le\ & C\left[M\varepsilon + \frac{M^2\varepsilon^2}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\right]\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2 + |\partial_x v|^2 + |\partial_\tau u|^2) dx d\tau \\
&-\int_0^t\int_R \left[(1+\tau)^2\frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\partial_\tau v\partial^2_\tau v + (1+\tau)^2\frac{vu}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\partial_\tau v\partial^2_{x\tau} v\right] dx d\tau \\
=\ & C\left[M\varepsilon + \frac{M^2\varepsilon^2}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\right]\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2 + |\partial_x v|^2 + |\partial_\tau u|^2) dx d\tau \\
&+I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Using integration by parts with respect to τ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,1} =\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2\frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\partial_\tau(\partial_\tau v)^2 dx d\tau \\
=\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_R (1+t)^2\frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}(\partial_t v)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_R \frac{\varepsilon v_0}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\varepsilon v_0}(\partial_\tau v(\cdot,0))^2 dx \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R \partial_\tau\left[(1+\tau)^2\frac{v}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\right](\partial_\tau v)^2 dx d\tau \\
\le\ & \frac{C\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1^2(0) + \frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau. \tag{2.21}$$

And using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2\frac{vu}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\partial_x(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2\partial_x\left[\frac{vu}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v}\right](\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau \\ &\le \frac{CM^2\varepsilon^2}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)^2(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Inserting (2.21) and (2.22) into (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \le\ & \frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_\tau u|^2)dxd\tau \\ & +\frac{C\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

We can deal I_3 almost the same with I_2. Then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \le\ & \frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(|\partial_\tau v|^2+|\partial_x v|^2+|\partial_x u|^2)dxd\tau \\ & +\frac{C\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Remember that in (2.2), we have

$$|\partial_t u|\le C\left(|\partial_x v|+|\partial_x u|+\frac{|u|}{1+t}\right).$$

Inserting the estimates of I_1 (2.15), I_2 (2.23) and I_3 (2.24) into (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_t v)^2dx+\int_R(1+t)^2(\partial_x v)^2dx+\int_R v^2dx \\ & +\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_\tau v)^2dxd\tau+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2dxd\tau \\ \le\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R(1+t)^2\left[(\partial_\tau v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2\right]dx \\ & +\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_\tau v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2+(\partial_x u)^2\right]+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right\}dxd\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

2.2 Estimate 2

Multiplying $(2.2)_2$ by u and integrating on $R \times [0,t]$ yield

$$\int_0^t \int_R (\frac{1}{2}\partial_\tau u^2 + u\partial_x v + \frac{\mu}{1+\tau}u^2)dxd\tau = \int_0^t \int_R (-u\partial_x u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v)udxd\tau.$$

Then using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_R u^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\int_R u_0^2 dx - \int_0^t \int_R v\partial_x u dxd\tau + \int_0^t \int_R \frac{\mu}{1+\tau}u^2 dxd\tau \\ = & \int_0^t \int_R (-u\partial_x u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v)udxd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_R u^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_R v(\partial_\tau v + u\partial_x v + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)dxd\tau + \int_0^t \int_R \frac{\mu}{1+\tau}u^2 dxd\tau \\ \leq & CE_1^2(0) + CM\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_R \left\{ \frac{1}{1+\tau}u^2 + (1+\tau)\left[(\partial_x v)^2 + (\partial_x u)^2\right] \right\} dxd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R (u^2+v^2)dx + \int_0^t \int_R \frac{\mu}{1+\tau}u^2 dxd\tau \\ \leq & CE_1^2(0) + CM\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_R \left\{ \frac{1}{1+\tau}u^2 + (1+\tau)\left[(\partial_x v)^2 + (\partial_x u)^2\right] \right\} dxd\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

2.3 Estimate 3

By differentiating $(2.2)_2$ with respect to x and integrating its product with $(1+\tau)^2\partial_x u$ on $R \times [0,t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_R (1+\tau)^2 \partial_x u(\partial_{x\tau}^2 u + \partial_x^2 v + \frac{\mu}{1+\tau}\partial_x u)dxd\tau \\ = & \int_0^t \int_R (1+\tau)^2 \partial_x u\partial_x(-u\partial_x u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v)dxd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_x u)^2 dx + (\mu-1)\int_0^t \int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x u)^2 dxd\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_R (1+\tau)^2 \partial_x(\partial_\tau v + u\partial_x v + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)\partial_x v dxd\tau \\ \leq & CE_1^2(0) + \int_0^t \int_R (1+\tau)^2 \partial_x u\partial_x(-u\partial_x u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v)dxd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_R (1+t)^2\left[(\partial_x u)^2+(\partial_x v)^2\right]dx\\
&+(\mu-1)\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x u)^2 dxd\tau-\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2 dxd\tau\\
\le\ & -\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2\partial_x(u\partial_x v+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x u)\partial_x v dxd\tau\\
&+CE_1^2(0)+\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)^2\partial_x u\partial_x(-u\partial_x u-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\partial_x v)dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We can deal with the right terms almost the same with I_2 and I_3. Then we can get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_R (1+t)^2\left[(\partial_x u)^2+(\partial_x v)^2\right]dx\\
&+\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x u)^2 dxd\tau-\int_0^t\int_R (1+\tau)(\partial_x v)^2 dxd\tau\\
\le\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R (1+t)^2\left[(\partial_t v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2\right]dx\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_\tau v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2+(\partial_x u)^2\right]+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right\}dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Let (2.25)+(2.26)+$\frac{1}{2}\times$(2.27), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_R\left\{(1+t)^2\left[(\partial_t v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2+(\partial_x u)^2\right]+v^2+u^2\right\}dx\\
&+\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_\tau v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2+(\partial_x u)^2\right]+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right\}dxd\tau\\
\le\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_1^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R (1+t)^2\left[(\partial_t v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2\right]dx\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_\tau v)^2+(\partial_x v)^2+(\partial_x u)^2\right]+\frac{u^2}{1+\tau}\right\}dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

2.4 Estimates for Higher Derivatives

The estimates as (2.25) and (2.27) can also be obtained for higher derivatives. In fact, by multiplying (2.3) by $\partial_x^2[\eta(1+\tau)^2\partial_\tau v+(1+\tau)v]$ and integrating it on $R\times[0,t]$, we can get

$$\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_{xt}^2 v)^2dx+\int_R (1+t)^2(\partial_x^2 v)^2dx+\int_R(\partial_x v)^2dx$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_{x\tau}^2v)^2dxd\tau+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x^2v)^2dxd\tau\\
\leq\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_2^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R(1+t)^2\left[(\partial_{xt}^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2v)^2\right]dx\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_{x\tau}^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2u)^2\right]\right\}dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.29}
$$

By differentiating $(2.2)_2$ two times with respect to x and integrating its product with $(1+\tau)^2\partial_x^2u$ on $R\times[0,t]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_R(1+t)^2\left[(\partial_x^2u)^2+(\partial_x^2v)^2\right]dx+\int_0^t\int_R(1+\tau)(\partial_x^2u)^2dxd\tau\\
\leq\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_2^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}\int_R(1+t)^2\left[(\partial_{xt}^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2v)^2\right]dx\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\int_R\left\{(1+\tau)\left[(\partial_{x\tau}^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2v)^2+(\partial_x^2u)^2\right]\right\}dxd\tau.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.30}
$$

Combing (2.28), (2.29) and (2.30) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(1+t)\partial_tv\|_1^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xv\|_1^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xu\|_1^2+\|v\|^2+\|u\|^2\\
&+\int_0^t\left[(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau v\|_1^2+\|\partial_xv\|_1^2+\|\partial_xu\|_1^2)+\frac{\|u\|^2}{1+\tau}\right]d\tau\\
\leq\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_2^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}(\|(1+t)\partial_tv\|_1^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xv\|_1^2)\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\left[(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau v\|_1^2+\|\partial_xv\|_1^2+\|\partial_xu\|_1^2)+\frac{\|u\|^2}{1+\tau}\right]d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.31}
$$

Actually, we can prove for m

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(1+t)\partial_tv\|_{m-1}^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xv\|_{m-1}^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xu\|_{m-1}^2+\|v\|^2+\|u\|^2\\
&+\int_0^t\left[(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau v\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_xv\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_xu\|_{m-1}^2)+\frac{\|u\|^2}{1+\tau}\right]d\tau\\
\leq\ & C\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-C\varepsilon}E_m^2(0)+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{1-CM\varepsilon}(\|(1+t)\partial_tv\|_{m-1}^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xv\|_{m-1}^2)\\
&+\frac{CM\varepsilon}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}\int_0^t\left[(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau v\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_xv\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_xu\|_{m-1}^2)+\frac{\|u\|^2}{1+\tau}\right]d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.32}
$$

When ε is small, for some C_0, we get

$$
\|(1+t)\partial_tv\|_{m-1}^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xv\|_{m-1}^2+\|(1+t)\partial_xu\|_{m-1}^2+\|v\|^2+\|u\|^2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^t \left[(1+\tau)(\|\partial_\tau v\|_{m-1}^2+\|\partial_x v\|_1^2+\|\partial_x u\|_{m-1}^2)+\frac{\|u\|^2}{1+\tau}\right]d\tau\\
\leq\ & \frac{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2}{(1-CM\varepsilon)^2-CM\varepsilon}C_0\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M^2=5C_0$. By using the smallness of ε, we can have

$$E_m^2(t)\leq\frac{1}{4}M^2\varepsilon^2. \tag{2.33}$$

The local existence of symmetrizable hyperbolic equations have been proved by using the fixed point theorem. In order to get the global existence of the system, we only need a priori estimate. Based on our above estimate (2.33) and the continuation argument, we finish the prove of Theorem 1.1. ■

3 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem1.2 when $0\leq\mu\leq 2$. We first deal with the case $\gamma=2$ and later indicate the modification for general case.

Proof. Let (ρ,u) be a C^1 solution. By the finite propagation property, we have $\rho-1$ supported in $B(t)=\{x\mid |x|\leq t+R\}$. We define

$$P(r,t)=\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho(x,t)-1)dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Using $(1.1)_1$ and integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t P(r,t) &= \int_{x>r}(x-r)^2\rho_t dx\\
&= -\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho u)_x dx\\
&= \int_{x>r}2(x-r)(\rho u)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $P(r,t)$ is C^2 in t. Differentiating it again, using $(1.1)_2$ and integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^2 P(r,t) &= \int_{x>r}2(x-r)(\rho u)_t dx\\
&= \int_{x>r}2(x-r)\left(-\partial_x(\rho u^2)-\partial_x p-\frac{\mu}{1+t}\rho u\right)dx\\
&= \int_{x>r}2\rho u^2 dx+\int_{x>r}2p dx+\frac{\mu}{1+t}\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho u)_x dx\\
&= \int_{x>r}2\rho u^2 dx+\int_{x>r}2p dx-\frac{\mu}{1+t}\partial_t\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho-1)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^2 P(r,t)+\frac{\mu}{1+t}\partial_t P(r,t) &= \int_{x>r} 2\rho u^2 dx+\int_{x>r} 2p dx\\ &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Due to our initial data assumption (1.3), by integrating the above differential inequality, we have $\partial_t P(r,t)\geq 0$ and $P(r,t)>0$.

Now we come to estimate a lower bound for $P(r,t)$. Rewriting $\partial_t^2 P(r,t)$ as following.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^2 P(r,t) &= \int_{x>r} 2(x-r)(\rho u)_t dx\\ &= \int_{x>r} 2(x-r)\left(-\partial_x(\rho u^2)-\partial_x p-\frac{\mu}{1+t}\rho u\right)dx\\ &= \int_{x>r} 2\rho u^2 dx+\int_{x>r} 2(p-\overline{p})dx+\frac{\mu}{1+t}\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho u)_x dx\\ &\geq \int_{x>r} 2(p-\overline{p})dx-\frac{\mu}{1+t}\partial_t\int_{x>r}(x-r)^2(\rho-1)dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{p}=p(1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^2 P(r,t)-\partial_r^2 P(r,t)+\frac{\mu}{1+t}\partial_t P(r,t) &\geq \int_{x>r} 2(p-\overline{p})dx-\int_{x>r} 2(\rho-1)dx\\ &= \frac{2}{\gamma}\int_{x>r}\left[(\rho^\gamma-1)-\gamma(\rho-1)\right]dx\\ &\triangleq G(r,t).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

When $\gamma=2$,

$$G(r,t)=\int_{x>r}(\rho-1)^2 dx\geq 0.$$

When $0\leq\mu\leq 2$, due to the nonnegativity of $\partial_t P$, we have

$$\partial_t^2 P(r,t)-\partial_r^2 P(r,t)+\frac{2}{1+t}\partial_t P(r,t)\geq G(r,t).^1\tag{3.3}$$

Define $W(r,t)=(1+t)P(r,t)$. From the above inequality, one get

$$\partial_t^2 W(r,t)-\partial_r^2 W(r,t)\geq(1+t)G(r,t).\tag{3.4}$$

We see

$$W(r,0)=\varepsilon q^0(r),\qquad (\partial_t W)(r,0)=\varepsilon(q^0(r)+q^1(r)).$$

[1]If the damping decays like $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}$ where $\lambda>1,\mu\geq 0$, we can choose a t_1 such that when $t\geq t_1$, $\frac{\mu}{(1+t)^\lambda}\leq\frac{2}{1+t}$. We can still get (3.3).

Inversion of 1-d d'Alembertian operator gives (for $r > R_0 + t$)

$$\begin{aligned} W(r,t) &= W^0(r,t) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{r-(t-\tau)}^{r+t-\tau} \Box W(y,\tau)dyd\tau \\ &\geq W^0(r,t) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{r-(t-\tau)}^{r+t-\tau} (1+\tau)G(y,\tau)dyd\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$W^0(r,t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\{q^0(r+t) + q^0(r-t) + \int_{r-t}^{r+t}(q^0(y) + q^1(y))dy\right\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now define

$$F(t) = \int_0^t (t-\tau)\int_{R_0+\tau}^{R+\tau} r^{-1}W(r,t)drd\tau.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &= \int_{R_0+t}^{R+t} r^{-1}W(r,t)dr \\ &\geq \int_{R_0+t}^{R+t} r^{-1}W^0(r,t)dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{R_0+t}^{R+t} r^{-1}\int_0^t\int_{r-(t-\tau)}^{r+t-\tau}(1+\tau)G(y,\tau)dyd\tau dr \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

From our assumption (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\geq \varepsilon\frac{1}{2}\int_{R_0+t}^{R+t} r^{-1}q^0(r-t)dr \\ &\geq \varepsilon(R+t)^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\int_{R_0+t}^{R+t} q^0(r-t)dr \\ &\geq \varepsilon(R+t)^{-1}B_0 \\ &> 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $B_0 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{R_0}^{R} q^0(r)dr$.

Exchanging the order of integration in J_2 and remembering that $G(y,\tau)$ is supported in $\{y \mid |y| \leq \tau + R\}$, we have

$$J_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}(1+\tau)G(y,\tau)\int_{\max[t+R_0,y-(t-\tau)]}^{y+t-\tau} r^{-1}drdyd\tau.$$

If we set $t \geq t_1 = \frac{1}{2}(R - R_0)$, by direct computation, we have

$$\int_{\max[t+R_0,y-(t-\tau)]}^{y+t-\tau} r^{-1}dr \geq C(t+R)^{-2}(t-\tau)(y-\tau-R_0)^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $G(y,\tau)\geq 0$, we have

$$J_2 \geq C(t+R)^{-2}\int_0^t\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}(t-\tau)(y-\tau-R_0)^2(1+\tau)G(y,\tau)dyd\tau, \tag{3.10}$$

when $t>t_1$. We know that $G(y,\tau)$ is supported in $\{y\mid |y|\leq \tau+R\}$ and

$$G(y,\tau)=\partial_y^2\int_{x>y}(x-y)^2(\rho-1)^2dx.$$

Using integration by parts in (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\geq C(t+R)^{-2}\int_0^t(t-\tau)\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}\int_{x>y}(1+\tau)(x-y)^2(\rho-1)^2dxdyd\tau \\ &= C(t+R)^{-2}J_3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Recall that

$$F(t)=\int_0^t(t-\tau)\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}y^{-1}(1+\tau)\int_{x>y}(x-y)^2(\rho-1)dxdyd\tau.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(t) &\leq J_3\int_0^t(t-\tau)(1+\tau)\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}y^{-2}\int_y^{\tau+R}(x-y)^2dxdyd\tau \\ &= J_3J_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We compute J_4 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \frac{1}{3}\int_0^t(t-\tau)(1+\tau)\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}y^{-2}(\tau+R-y)^3dyd\tau \\ &\leq \frac{(R-R_0)^3}{3}\int_0^t(t-\tau)(1+\tau)\int_{\tau+R_0}^{\tau+R}y^{-2}dyd\tau \\ &\leq C\int_0^t(t-\tau)(1+\tau)\frac{1}{(\tau+R_0)^2}d\tau \\ &\leq C(t+R)\ln(t+R). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.7), we get

$$F''(t)\geq C[(t+R)^3\ln(t+R)]^{-1}F^2(t),\qquad t\geq t_1. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and the fact $J_2\geq 0$, $F'(0)=F(0)=0$, we have

$$F''(t)\geq \varepsilon B_0(t+R)^{-1},\qquad t\geq 0, \tag{3.15}$$

$$F'(t)\geq \varepsilon B_0\ln\left(\frac{t+R}{R}\right),\qquad t\geq 0, \tag{3.16}$$

$$F(t) \geq C\varepsilon B_0(t+R)\ln\left(\frac{t+R}{R}\right), \qquad t \geq t_2, \tag{3.17}$$

where $t_2 = \max\{t_1, R(e^2-1)\}$.

Actually from (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), we can deduce the blow up as in [16]. However for completion of our paper, we sketch the proof in the following. For simplicity, we set $R = 1$.

Inserting (3.17) into (3.14), one obtain the improvement for $F''(t)$

$$F''(t) \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 (t+1)^{-1}\ln(t+1), \qquad t \geq t_2. \tag{3.18}$$

Integrating (3.18) twice, we have

$$F(t) \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 (t+1)\left[\ln(t+1)\right]^2 \qquad t \geq t_2. \tag{3.19}$$

Inserting (3.19) into (3.14), we have

$$F''(t) \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 (t+1)^{-2}\ln(t+1)F(t), \qquad t \geq t_2. \tag{3.20}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.20) by $F'(t)(\geq 0)$, we have

$$[(F'(t))^2]' \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 (t+1)^{-2}\ln(t+1)[(F(t))^2]'. \tag{3.21}$$

Integrating (3.21) from some $t_3 \geq t_2$ to t, we have

$$(F'(t))^2 \geq (F'(t_3))^2 + C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 \int_{t_3}^t (\tau+1)^{-2}\ln(\tau+1)[F^2(\tau)]'d\tau.$$

First choosing t_3 such that

$$C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 \ln(t_3+1) = 1. \tag{3.22}$$

Then we have

$$(F'(t))^2 \geq (F'(t_3))^2 + \frac{1}{\ln(t_3+1)}\int_{t_3}^t (\tau+1)^{-2}\ln(\tau+1)[F^2(\tau)]'d\tau.$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(F'(t))^2 \quad &\geq \quad (F'(t_3))^2 + \frac{(t+1)^{-2}\ln(t+1)}{\ln(t_3+1)}F^2(t) \\
&\quad -(t_3+1)^{-2}F^2(t_3) - \frac{1}{\ln(t_3+1)}\int_{t_3}^t F^2(\tau)\frac{1-2\ln(t+1)}{(t+1)^3}d\tau \\
&\geq \quad \frac{(t+1)^{-2}\ln(t+1)}{\ln(t_3+1)}F^2(t) \\
&\quad +(F'(t_3))^2 - (t_3+1)^{-2}F^2(t_3).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Here we have use the fact when $t \geq t_3$, we have $1 - 2\ln(t+1) \leq 0$. Noting that $F'(t)$ is increasing and $F(0) = 0$, we have

$$F(t_3) \leq t_3 F'(t_3). \tag{3.24}$$

Substituting (3.24) into (3.23), we have

$$F'(t) \quad \geq \quad C(t+1)^{-1}(\ln(t+1))^{\frac{1}{2}}F(t) \qquad t \geq t_3.$$

Integrating this from t_3 to t, one obtain

$$\ln \frac{F(t)}{F(t_3)} = \frac{2}{3}C(\ln(t+1))^{3/2} - \frac{2}{3}C(\ln(t_3+1))^{3/2}.$$

Choosing $t_4 = 2t_3^2$ and noting (3.19), we have

$$F(t) \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 (t+1)^8, \qquad t \geq t_4.$$

Inserting this into (3.14), we get

$$F''(t) \geq C\varepsilon B_0 F(t)^{3/2}, \qquad t \geq t_4.$$

Integrating, as before, the above differential inequality, we get

$$(F'(t))^2 \geq C\varepsilon B_0 \left((F(t))^{5/2} - (F(t_4))^{5/2}\right), \qquad t \geq t_4.$$

On the other hand, due to the nonnegative of $F'(t)$ and $F''(t)$, we have

$$F(t) \geq F(t_4) + F'(t_4)(t - t_4) \geq F'(t_4)(t - t_4) \geq F(t_4)\frac{t - t_4}{t_4}.$$

Then choosing $t_5 = 3t_4$, we get

$$F'(t)) \geq C\sqrt{\varepsilon B_0}(F(t))^{5/4}, \qquad t \geq t_5.$$

If the lifespan $T_\varepsilon \geq 2t_5$, integrating the above inequality from t_5 to T_ε gives

$$(F(t_5))^{-1/4} - (F(T_\varepsilon))^{-1/4} \geq C\sqrt{\varepsilon B_0}T_\varepsilon. \tag{3.25}$$

Noting that we have chosen $t_5 = 6t_3^2$ and the inequality (3.19) and (3.22), Then we have

$$F(t_5) \geq C\varepsilon^2 B_0^2 e^{\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 B_0^2}},$$

which combined with (3.25) can deduce that

$$T_\varepsilon \leq Ce^{\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 B_0^2}}.$$

However if $T_\varepsilon \le 2t_5$, then by our choice of t_5 and (3.22)

$$T_\varepsilon \le Ct_3^2 \le Ce^{\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 B_0^2}}.$$

For the general case, we need to adjust the function $G(r,t)$ in (3.3). Using Taylor's theorem, we have

$$(\rho^\gamma - 1) - \gamma(\rho - 1) = \gamma(\gamma - 1)\int_1^\rho \tau^{\gamma-2}(\rho - \tau)d\tau.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_1^\rho \tau^{\gamma-2}(\rho - \tau)d\tau \ge C(\gamma)\varphi_\gamma(\rho),$$

where $C(\gamma)$ is a constant and φ_γ is given by

$$\varphi_\gamma(\rho) = \begin{cases} (1-\rho)^\gamma, & 0 < \rho < \dfrac{1}{2}, \\ (\rho-1)^2, & \dfrac{1}{2} \le \rho \le 2, \\ (\rho-1)^\gamma, & \rho > 2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$G(r,t) \ge C(\gamma)\int_{x>r} \varphi_\gamma(\rho)dx.$$

Young inequalities will be used in (3.13). We still can get similar inequalities as (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) to prove the finite-time blow up, although the upper bound for the lifespan will be a little different. We omit the details.

This finishes the proof of Theorem1.2. ■

Acknowledgement. I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my advisor Professor Huicheng Yin in Nanjing Normal University for his guidance about this subject. This work is partly proceeded when I am visiting Department of Mathematics in University of California, Riverside. So, I also want to express my thanks to my co-advisor, Professor Qi S. Zhang, in UCR for his constant encouragement.

References

[1] D. Christodoulou, The formation of shocks in 3-dimensional fluids. EMS Monographs in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007.

[2] D. Christodoulou; Miao Shuang, Compressible flow and Euler's equations. Surveys of Modern Mathematics, 9. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2014.

[3] F. Hou, I. Witt, H.C. Yin, On the global existence and blowup of smooth solutions of 3-D compressible Euler equations with time-depending damping. arXiv:1510.04613

[4] Hsiao Ling; Liu Tai-Ping, Convergence to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping. Comm. Math. Phys. 143 (1992), no. 3, 599-605.

[5] Hsiao L.; Tang S. Q., Construction and qualitative behavior of the solution of the perturbated Riemann problem for the system of one-dimensional isentropic flow with damping. J. Differential Equations 123 (1995), no. 2, 480-503.

[6] Jiu Quansen; Zheng Xiaoxin, Global well-posedness of the compressible Euler with damping in Besov spaces. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 35 (2012), no. 13, 1570-1586.

[7] Kato Tosio, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975), no. 3, 181-205.

[8] Liu Tai-Ping, Pointwise convergence to shock waves for viscous conservation laws. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 50 (1997), no. 11, 1113-1182.

[9] Liu Tai-Ping; Wang Weike, The pointwise estimates of diffusion wave for the Navier-Stokes systems in odd multi-dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys. 196 (1998), no. 1, 145-173.

[10] Nishida Takaaki, Nonlinear hyperbolic equations and related topics in fluid dynamics. Publications Mathmatiques d'Orsay, No. 78-02. Département de Mathematique, Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1978.

[11] Nishihara Kenji, Convergence rates to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping. J. Differential Equations 131 (1996), no. 2, 171-188.

[12] Nishihara Kenji; Wang Weike; Yang Tong, L_p-convergence rate to nonlinear diffusion waves for p-system with damping. J. Differential Equations 161 (2000), no. 1, 191-218.

[13] T. Sideris; Thomases Becca; Wang Dehua, Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003), no. 3-4, 795-816.

[14] T. Sideris, Delayed singularity formation in 2D compressible flow. Amer. J. Math. 119 (1997), no. 2, 371-422.

[15] T. Sideris, Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids. Comm. Math. Phys. 101 (1985), no. 4, 475-485.

[16] T. Sideris, Global behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in three dimensions. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 8 (1983), no. 12, 1291-1323.

[17] Tan Zhong; Wang Yong, Global solution and large-time behavior of the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping. J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), no. 4, 1686-1704.

[18] Wang Weike; Yang Tong, Pointwise estimates and Lp convergence rates to diffusion waves for p-system with damping. J. Differential Equations 187 (2003), no. 2, 310-336.

[19] Wang Weike; Yang Tong, Existence and stability of planar diffusion waves for 2-D Euler equations with damping. J. Differential Equations 242 (2007), no. 1, 40-71.

[20] Wang Weike; Yang Tong, The pointwise estimates of solutions for Euler equations with damping in multi-dimensions. J. Differential Equations 173 (2001), no. 2, 410-450.

[21] Yin Huicheng; Qiu Qingjiu, The blowup of solutions for 3-D axisymmetric compressible Euler equations. Nagoya Math. J. 154 (1999), 157-169.

[22] Yin Huicheng, Formation and construction of a shock wave for 3-D compressible Euler equations with the spherical initial data. Nagoya Math. J. 175 (2004), 125-164.